UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,568 | 03/11/2004 | Perry A. Cohagan | 60655.7900 | 2567 |
| | 7590 02/19/201 t L.L.P. (AMEX) | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE ARIZONA | A CENTER | ALVAREZ, RAQUEL | | |
| 400 E. VAN BUREN STREET PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3688 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/19/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

HSOBELMAN@SWLAW.COM DMIER@SWLAW.COM JESLICK@SWLAW.COM

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/708,568 | COHAGAN ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Raquel Alvarez | 3688 | | | |
| Period fo | - The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 De | ecember 2009 | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 December 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| ,— | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pane Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 C.G. 215. | | | | | |
| Disposition | on of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 6-22</u> is/are pending in the a | oplication. | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)□ | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| • | 6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 6-22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| ٠,١ | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | |
| 10) 🔲 🗆 | 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | (1) (5) | | | |
| · _ | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)L | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) The provided in Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO/SB/08) The provided in Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO/SB/08) The provided in Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO/SB/08) | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/708,568 Page 2

Art Unit: 3688

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 12/15/2009.

2. Claims 1-2, 4 and 6-22 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brizendine et al. (6,484,147 hereinafter Brizendine) in view of Scroggie et al. (5,970,469 hereinafter Scroggie).

With respect to claims 1-2, 11, 14 -15, 17, 19 Brizendine teaches a method for facilitating a transfer points between at least two loyalty accounts (Abstract).

Maintaining a database for storing at least one loyalty points in at least one loyalty account corresponding to at least one consumer (Figure 3, 300); receiving a transfer request to transfer a number of loyalty points from a first loyalty account to at least a second loyalty account as a gift (i.e. member 130 request to load points to member 120)(event 902); determining loyalty points requested for transfer and acquiring data related to loyalty point balance of a first loyalty account (event 910); deducting a portion of loyalty point balance of said first loyalty account and crediting the loyalty point balance of said second loyalty account (see Figure 9).

Brizendine doesn't specifically teach said geographic area loyalty points being associated with a plurality of different geographic areas. Scroggie teacher the user required to enter his or her **zip code** in order to receive location-dependent offers, the loyalty points associated with the plurality of geographic area (see Figure 3). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included the teachings of Scroggie of geographic area points/offers/incentives in the loyalty account of Brizendine because such a motivation would allow to better target the offers of Brizendine based on the market area that the customer resides in or the zip code or location that the user wants to receive offer/discounts for.

Claim 4 further recites determining if they is any restrictions or limitations on said transfer (i.e. determining if the account is that of a minor in order to notify the parent/guardian of the points withdrawn)(See Figure 14).

With respect to claim 6, Brizendine further teaches converting a portion of said loyalty points to a monetary value (step 908).

Claim 7 further recites calculating an exchange rate between geographic areas.

Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to have exchange rates and conversion rates between geographic areas. For example, when traveling overseas

and the like, the customer is presented with a list of currency and their corresponding conversion rate in order to provide and aid the customer with the calculation of how much money they will receive for exchanging to the area/geographic currency rate. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included calculating an exchange rate between geographic areas in order to achieve the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to claim 8, Brizendine further teaches crediting a third loyalty account (i.e. crediting an educational institution)(see Figure 14).

With respect to claim 9, Brizendine further teaches notifying at least one club member of second loyalty point account transfer (see Figure 14 of notification of amount withdrawn).

Claims 10 and 16 further recite the second loyalty account being associated with a government approved charity. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to have a list of government approved charities that the members can transfer money to. For example, Combined Federal Campaign (CFC) it is an authorized fundraiser of local and national charities that have met CFC guidelines and an easy way for federal employees to transfer certain payroll amount to the charities of their choice. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's

Art Unit: 3688

invention to have included the second loyalty account being associated with a government approved charity in order to obtain the above mentioned advantages.

Page 5

Claim 12 further recites determining if said loyalty points of said first member is below a threshold amount. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to determine if a member amount is below a threshold amount in order to approve or disapprove a withdrawal. For example, banks and the like will not allow an account holder to have a balance below a threshold amount in order to maintain a free checking account. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included determining if said loyalty points of said first member is below a threshold amount in order to determine if the member qualifies for certain services.

Claim 13 further recites determining if said loyalty points of said first member has been inactive for a certain time period. Official Notice is taken that it is old and well known to determine if a member amount has been inactive for a certain period of time. For example, loyalty programs and the like will track if a user is not redeeming points or the like in order to determine if the user should receive additional points/incentives/coupons. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included determining if said loyalty points of said first member has been inactive for a certain time period in order to determine if the member's account should be closed.

With respect to claim 18, Scroggie further teaches redeeming loyalty points in a predetermined geographic area (col. 11, lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included redeeming the points/incentives in a particular geographic location in order to promote purchase at a particular retailer. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included teaches redeeming loyalty points in a predetermined geographic area in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to claim 21, Brizendine further teaches informing a consumer of said transfer of loyalty points in real-time at a point of sale (Figure 10).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant argues that neither Brizendine nor Scroggie teach maintaining geographic area points being associated with a plurality of geographic areas. The Examiner disagrees with Applicant because Scroggie teaches maintaining a database of geographic area points, the points/offers/discounts displayed to the user based on the plurality of geographic area. For example there's a plurality of geographic area

points stored in the database and based on the user's input, the specific points/offers/discounts related to the zip code will be displayed to the user. The user in Scroggie can input more than one zip code and then receive more then one geographic area points/offers/discounts/offers (Figure 3). Therefore contrary to Applicant's arguments, the combination of Brizedine teaches storing, maintaining and transferring in a database loyalty points (taught in Brizendine) and Scroggie teaches the customer associating loyalty points geographic area, the same customer in Scroggie can have multiple points associated with more than one (plurality) of geographic area based on their input. For example a customer in Scroggie can input more than one zip code in order to receive a plurality of different geographic area points. Therefore contrary to Applicant's arguments the combination of Brizendine and Scroggie teaches the customer account/record being associated with more then one geographic area based on the input by the user. Like explained before a user that inputs a plurality of zip codes will receive a plurality of different geographic areas points.

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Point of contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert A. Weinhardt can be reached on (571)272-6633. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,568 Page 9

Art Unit: 3688

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688 Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3688

R.A. 2/11/2010